Even In Nursing, Women Are Paid Less Than Men



TETRA IMAGES VIA GETTY IMAGES

By Lisa Rapaport

(Reuters Health) - Even though nine out of 10 nurses are women, men in the profession earn higher salaries, and the pay gap has remained constant over the past quarter century, a study finds.

The typical salary gap has consistently been about \$5,000 even after adjusting for factors such as experience, education, work hours, clinical specialty, and marital and parental status, according to a report in JAMA, the journal of the American Medical Association.

"Nursing is the largest female dominated profession so you would think that if any profession could have women achieve equal pay, it would be nursing," said lead study author Ulrike Muench from the University of California, San Francisco.

Muench and colleagues used two large U.S. data sets to examine earnings over time. One, the National Sample Survey of Registered Nurses, provided responses from nearly 88,000 participants from 1988 to 2008. The other, the American Community Survey, offered responses from nearly 206,000 registered nurses from 2001 to 2013.

Every year, each of the data sets found men earned more than women; the unadjusted pay gap ranged from \$10,243 to \$11,306 in one survey and from \$9,163 to \$9,961 in the other.

There was a gap for hospital nurses, \$3,783, and an even bigger one, \$7,678, for nurses in outpatient settings.

Men out-earned women in every specialty except orthopedics, with the gap ranging from \$3,792 in chronic care to \$17,290 for nurse anesthetists.

While the study didn't address the reasons for persistent gaps in pay, it's possible that men are better at negotiating raises and promotions or that they are less likely than women to take extended breaks from the labor force to care for young children or aging parents, said Patricia Davidson, dean of the Johns Hopkins University School of Nursing in Baltimore, Maryland.

Many women are drawn to nursing at least in part by the flexibility, noted Davidson, who wasn't involved in the study. With shift work and opportunities to advance while working nontraditional

hours, nursing should be far better suited to balancing a career and family obligations than many other professions, she told Reuters Health.

"It's a real indictment that this issue of gender disparity is prevalent in nursing where it's predominantly female," said Davidson. "In Wall Street or Silicon Valley people can dismiss it because it's a culture that's not known to be accommodating - a male-dominated work environment where it's stacked against them - but when you see this inequity in nursing it speaks to a larger problem."

It's also possible that the study exposed a gender difference in career choices, rather than a genuine lack of equal pay for equal work, said Linda Aiken, a nursing and health policy researcher at the University of Pennsylvania.

"Men may be more likely to work full time and even to work more hours per week than other full time nurses," Aiken, who wasn't involved in the study, said in an email interview. The study findings require "more analysis before we can conclude that there is an actual gender gap in pay for equal work and how a gender gap might best be addressed."

In nursing, pay equity also involves more than issues of gender, Aiken said. For example, she noted that Medicare, the federal insurance program for the elderly, pays nurse practitioners working in primary care 85 percent of the rates physicians are paid for the same services. And primary care providers are paid less than clinicians in subspecialties like anesthesia.

"If the observed gender gap in nurses' incomes is a product of female nurses being more likely to elect specialties that are in great need like primary care, long-term care, home care, and public health, it would not be in the public's interest to encourage more women to follow in the footsteps of men to elect higher paying specialties or practice settings," Aiken said.

The study provides enough data over enough time to show that the pay gap isn't random, Muench said. "My hope is that this raises awareness and can start a discussion about what additional steps could be taken to achieve equal pay."

SOURCE: http://bit.ly/1CLUJ3K Journal of the American Medical Association, online March 24, 2015.

ATOS 8.8

Opinion

APR 16, 2012 @ 04:27 PM 306,271 Free Webcast: Generate Income in Retirement

It's Time That We End the Equal Pay Myth



Capital Flows, CONTRIBUTOR

Guest commentary curated by Forbes Opinion. Avik Roy, Opinion Editor. Opinions expressed by Forbes Contributors are their own.

Pay Day (Photo credit: 401K)

By Carrie Lukas

Holidays are sometimes moved for the convenience of the calendar. Each year, Americans celebrate George Washington's birthday on the third Monday of February - not on his actual birthday, which is February 22 - to ensure that the public has a long weekend. Yet the logic behind declaring Tuesday, April 17, "Equal Pay Day" as the feminist movement has dubbed it, is increasingly flawed.

Equal Pay Day is supposed to represent the day that women have finally earned enough to make up for last year's wage gap. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, full-time working women earned 81 percent of what full-time working men earned in 2010 (the most recent data available), leaving a "gap" of 19 percent between the sexes. But that means to make up for that "under-payment," women would have to work through March 10. So we are celebrating Equal Pay Day more than a month late. Yet the mistaken logic of Equal Pay Day goes deeper than this simple calculation. Equal Pay Day presumes that the difference between men and women's average earnings stems from discrimination, as President Obama suggested in his official proclamation last year: "I call upon all Americans to recognize the full value of women's skills and their significant contributions to the labor force, acknowledge the injustice of wage discrimination, and join efforts to achieve equal pay."

The wage gap statistic, however, doesn't compare two similarly situated co-workers of different sexes, working in the same industry, performing the same work, for the same number of hours a day. It merely reflects the median earnings of all men and women classified as full-time workers.

The Department of Labor's Time Use Survey, for example, finds that the average full-time working man spends 8.14 hours a day on the job, compared to 7.75 hours for the full-time working woman. Employees who work more likely earn more. Men working five percent longer than women alone explains about one-quarter of the wage gap.

There are numerous other factors that affect pay. Most fundamentally, men and women tend to gravitate toward different industries. Feminists may charge that women are socialized into lower-paying sectors of the economy. But women considering the decisions they've made likely have a different view. Women tend to seek jobs with regular hours, more comfortable conditions, little travel, and greater personal fulfillment. Often times, women are willing to trade higher pay for jobs with other characteristics that they find attractive.

Men, in contrast, often take jobs with less desirable characteristics in pursuit of higher pay. They work long hours and overnight shifts. They tar roofs in the sun, drive trucks across the country, toil in sewer systems, stand watch as prison guards, and risk injury on fishing boats, in coal mines, and in production plants. Such jobs pay more than others because otherwise no one would want to do them.

Unsurprisingly, children play an important role in men and women's work-life decisions. Simply put, women who have children or plan to have children tend to be willing to trade higher pay for more kid-friendly positions. In contrast, men with children typically seek to earn more money in order to support children, sometimes taking on more hours and less attractive positions to do so.

Academics can debate why men and women make these different choices. The important takeaway, however, is that there are many reasons that men and women on average earn different amounts. It's a mistake to assume that "wage gap" statistics reflect on-the-job discrimination.

Women have many reasons to celebrate today. Women are increasingly taking on leadership roles in businesses around the world. Technology is increasingly creating more flexible work arrangements, creating new options for parents to combine work and family life. Women are excelling academically (earning far more college degrees than men). Given that the economy tends to place a premium on education, we can expect women to contribute (and earn!) more in the future.

Feminists may protest, but American women aren't the victims of a sexist economy. It's time to declare an end to the Equal Pay Day myth.

Carrie Lukas is the managing director of the Independent Women's Forum.

READINGS

Case Studies from the Workplace

a) Female Custodians Settle Lawsuit against the U.S. for \$2.5 Million

In November 2001, more than 300 current and former female custodians won a \$2.5 million settlement resulting from a pay equity lawsuit filed against AOC (Architect of the Capitol, Inc.) in 1997 by a group of African American female custodians on Capitol Hill. The lawsuit alleged violations of the Equal Pay Act and Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act. It charged that female custodial workers were paid significantly less than their male co-workers for performing essentially the same work. Custodians working at the Capitol. in Washington DC, are federal civil servants. At the time the suit was filed, the highest pay possible for a female custodian to earn was \$10.08 per hour. For male custodians. the highest pay possible to earn was \$11.10 per hour. The disparity was due to discrimnatory federal Wage Grade classifications. Women were classified under WG-2 and men under WG-3. Under the settlement, all custodians, male and female, were to receive upgrades to WG-3. Lump sum payments of approximately \$1000 to \$8000 were to be paid to each female represented. The federal government, which had contracted with the AOC as a private firm to clean the Capitol, defended the firm through the U.S. Justice Department. As a result of the settlement, the government was required to pay not only the \$2.5 million, but also the attorneys' fees and costs of class counsel for the plaintiffs. www.afscme.org/press/9628.cfm www.now.org/issues/economic/122801payequity.html

b) Female Workers at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories – Sex Discrimination Suit

In 1998, six women members of the Society of Professional Scientists and Engineers at Lawrence Livermore Lab filed a class action sex discrimination lawsuit on behalf of 3,000 current and former female employees of the Lab. It alleged a pattern and practice of discrimination and unequal pay across several decades. The lead plaintiff was Mary Singleton, a chemist who worked 22 years at the Lab until retirement. The Women's Association at the Lab had studied salary pay scales and found women's salaries significantly lower than men's for most of the 100 classifications. Women were also not being equally represented in the higher ranking, higher paying classifications, although there were more women in the pool to chose among for promotions. According the attorney for the plaintiffs, Mark Johnson, "women have been misled for years that something would be done about gender bias, so many women didn't file lawsuits." The lawsuit covered only women who worked at the lab from 1997 to the present. The lawsuit was settled successfully for the women, as of Fall 2003. For more information: http://www.spse.org/issues.htm (2005 – Ranking and Pay Equity FACT Sheet)

c) Wal-Mart Class Action Lawsuit for Equal Pay

In June 2001, six current and former Wal-Mart women employees filed a lawsuit in San Francisco's U.S. District Court against the nation's largest private employer, charging discrimination in pay, promotions, training, and job assignments. It also charges that Wal-Mart retaliates against women who complain against such practices. The class-action suit represents more than 500,000 female workers. The lawsuit alleges that male Wal-Mart workers get higher pay than women for the same duties and that Wal-Mart passes over women for promotion and training. Of the company's 1 million employees, about 2/3 are female but women hold less than 1/3 of managerial positions.

Women bringing the suit said they were routinely denied the chance to move up in the company because they were not made aware of openings or given the training needed to advance. Betty Dukes said she also had been rebuffed in attempts to be promoted. Jobs became available that were never posted, and were then filled by men. Women were routinely assigned to certain areas of stores, like selling baby clothes, as opposed to goods like hardware. "I can mix a can of paint," one woman said. (See NY Times, June 20, 2001 "6 Women Sue Wal-Mart, Charging Bias" and Selling Women Short, by Liza Featherstone, 2004)

d) Ledbetter v. Goodyear

The 5-4 Supreme Court decision in 2007 in Ledbetter v. Goodyear made it virtually impossible for women who face pay discrimination to take action against their employers. The ruling (that complaints had to be filed within six months of the original act of discrimination) distorted Congress' intent to eliminate gender-based and other forms of discrimination in the workplace. That's why the first bill that President Obama signed, on January 29, 2009, was so important. The Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Restoration Act restores the long term interpretation of the deadline to be a realistic one of 180 days from any discriminatory paycheck. (National Women's Law Center – Fact Sheet on Supreme Court ruling and Fair Pay Restoration Act; www.pay-equity.org) Check http://thomas.loc.gov and www.pay-equity.org for updates on federal legislation: Paycheck Fairness Act and Fair Pay Act.

RESOURCES

More Lesson Ideas and Resources:

www.classroomtools.com/howmuch.htm (copyright 2000-2009)

These lessons are on the workforce, and help students understand pay equity, at the same time they improve math and economics skills and concepts. Statistics used in the charts and quizzes are from the U.S. Department of Labor, with a list of community and government resources and websites.

www.equalpay.info (website for links to NCPE, AAUW, www.wageproject.org, etc.

www.pay-equity.org

Recommended Books:

Working -- Studs Terkel

Nickel and Dimed: On Not Getting by in America -- Barbara Ehrenreich

Selling Women Short: the landmark battle for workers' rights at Wal-Mart – Liza Featherstone

Getting Even: why women aren't paid like men and what to do about it --Evelyn Murphy

Ask For It: How Women Can Use the Power of Negotiation to Get What They Really Want – Linda Babcock and Sara Laschever

2015 Tables and Figures

ပို





DIGEST & EDUCATION STATISTICS

Most Recent Full Issue of the Digest

All Years of Tables and Figures

Table 502.30. Median annual earnings of full-time year-round workers 25 to 34 years old and full-time year-round workers as a percentage of the labor force, by sex, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment: Selected years, 1995 through 2014

2100	\$I02	(#) \$40,000 (80)				51,980	49,880	29,080	67.1	25.1	(1.07) 65.3 (0.87)		73.5		(1.54) (3.5 (1.14)		_	(393) 25,000 (829)	(485) 32,970 (1,154)	35,790	40,060	(2,179) 57,890 (1,704)		77.4	0.59	9 7	41.4	2 2	78.7	78.5	73.67	35,960	19,950	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} (14) & 25,000 & (353) \\ (139) & 28.040 & (1.016) \end{array} $
		\$40,650		20,460	38,150	50,810	49,320	დ	65.1		2 2 2				, 7, 6, 0				32,220	38,960	42,420	0/8/6	67 850	5.69										30,230
2012	100	\ \ 8		_	-	,		_	2 (0.44)		00.00				(1:50)						ٽ	(675)								(0.94)		_	٠	(9)
	1 0	\$39,180						9	64.2		3.0						-		_	_		20,040								77.3		• • •		30,260
2011		66)			\Box	•		_	3 (0.41)						j)			$\overline{}$			(7797)									7 (0.98) 3 (1.63)				(1,152)
	1 00	(840) \$39,940						۵ —	63.3								•				2,04									74.7				30,460
2010		1		_	Ξ			_	(0.42) 9 (1.52)					(0.71)	1					(556) 0	5		٣		4 (1.81)					4 (0.91) 0 (1.88)			_	(1,102)
2005 2007 2009		\$40,580						, 	44.9				_	71.2	L					41,120					47.4					75.4				32,040
2009		\$41,890 (1,090) 22,990 (797)		ਦੋ				(2,231)											ت	(582)		_			2 (1.71)					5 (1.15) 5 (1.61)	,	(230)		(1,080)
	9						49,640							69.1	L		•			45,070					49.2	57.4				70.6		38,530		
2007	ľ	* (2)				ت	(905) 0							(0.70)					0 (1,067)		_		9		1 (1.51)	(5.92)				(1.93)		(442)	٠.	
		\$39,960		_			51,180												35,200						61.1	71.9				78.0		37,610		
2005	2	(1,092) (776)	\Box				(1,336)	5						(0.76)			***********		(49)					_	7 (1.54)	5 (0.91)				(2.00)		(35)		
	L	(939) \$39,980 (1,092) (23) 24,900 (776)		_			49,460	<u> </u>	90.0				70.2				26.550		35,220				66,660					75.1		75.1		36,320		
2004		i	(457)	=			(1111)	~						(0.74)			*		(150)				(3,830)	_	(1.43)					(1.72)		(17)		\Box
		(131) \$40,700 (540) 25,030	33,740				51,210			65.6				70.9			25.040		43,660				65,070		67.0					7.6.7		37,550	_	
2000	3		0 (241)			(284)	- 12	4 (0.32)						1 (0.62) 6 (1.18)			(210)						75,460 (2,043)							3 (1.58)		(327)		
<u> </u>	2	(215) \$41,240 (391) 24,880	34,360			54,980				67.2				(3.1	_		27.390								67.8				78.0			20,590		
1995		l	0 (308)			(874)								(0.90) 8 (1.65)			(28e) 0 (728)						0 (2,204)	_					(1.04)			(279) 0 (784)		
_		\$38,840 24,620	32,290	36,110	38,550	51,230	61,590	63.6	49.6	62.8	61.5	67.4	5. t	69.8		;	27.590	27 190	40,310	40,070	57,750	54,330	68,760	69.7	54.3	69.3	68.5	78.6	4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4	74.6		33,880 20,100	27,360	31,050
Sex, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment	r r	Total, all full-time year-round workers 25 to 34 years old Median annual earnings, all education levels Less than high school completion	High school completion $^{ m 1}$	Some college, no degree	Associate's degree	Bachelor's or nigner degree Bachelor's degree	Master's or higher degree	Percent, 2 all education levels	Less than high school completion	High school completion $^{ m 1}$	Some college, no degree	Associate's degree	Bachelor's or higher degree	Master's or higher degree		Mate Median annual earnings, all	Less than high school completion	High school completion ¹	Some college, no degree	Associate's degree	Bachelor's or higher degree	Bachelor's degree	Master's or higher degree	Percent, all education levels	cess ulan light school completion	High school completion ¹	Some college, no degree	Associate's degree	Bachelor's degree	Master's or higher degree	Female Median annual earnings, all	educadon leveis Less than high school completion	High school completion ¹	Some college, no degree

32,940 (1,216) 34,940 (162) 36,490 (1,346) 52,840 (1,111) 49,920 (50) 57,850 (1,475) (957) (144) (644) (1.29) (1.28) (1.51) (0.83) (0.94) **42,940 (745)** 28,540 (1,945) **(0.49)** (2.69) (1.98) (1.17) (1.23) (1.44) (0.74) (1.35) (0.56)(1,631) (2,441) (1,712) (1,237) (5.19) (1.78) (2.36) (3.23) (2.07) (2.52) (3.53) (822) (1,338) (1,540) (2,413) (1,400) (2,404) (681)(1.12)(103) (606) 30,810 (1,015) 20,480 (2,189) (1,258)60.8 54.9 54.6 61.1 68.7 68.5 68.6 47.1 66.6 62.1 67.1 74.2 73.9 24,970 27,270 30,980 46,800 49,170 58.5 58.9 59.3 74.2 73.4 76.4 29,990 31,060 29,920 47,390 44,220 57,130 44,990 54,590 68.7 **30,000** 23,820 44,840 61.7 39.0 (6.76) (2.70) (1.64) (1.69) (2.13) (0.98) (1.16) **(333)** (2,007) (1,503) (2,046) (835) (0.68) (3.27) (1.51) (2.64) (2.94) (4.19) (2.27) (2.99) (1,23) (1,800) (1,138) (1,077) (2,304) (1,500) (1.41) (1.53) (1.85) (0.91) (1.03) (1,485) (490) (1,480)**33,830(1,713)** 20,810(1,299) (1,535)(4,480)(766) (3,074)(5,867)**42,640** 30,490 32,190 35,540 40,630 50,810 50,520 25,420 32,190 34,730 45,320 40,580 55,400 30,120 23,180 28,730 30,370 33,130 46,560 50,350 47,560 45,340 54,790 52.4 53.4 59.6 68.9 69.1 **66.3** 47.3 66.9 71.9 72.0 71.7 59.9 59.6 41.1 62.4 60.5 40.9 51.2 54.6 64.2 78.2 74.3 88.6 (1.24) (1.22) (1.69) (0.90) (1.13) (1.71) **30,830 (45)** 23,130 (1,205) (0.63) (1.96) (715) (1,504)(712) (1,148) (1,926) (1,093) (0.54)(1.10) (1.39) (1.45) (0.74) (0.88) (1.38) (1,331) (2,611) (2,008) (846) **(1.23)** (4.20) (2.22) (2.18) (3.34) (2.12) (2.47) (3.96) (1,398)(1,791) (592) (2,105) (4,168) 42,230 (1,268) (2,551)(4.5) (2,279)(788) 25,400 (1,112) 27,260 (30,930 (32,580 45,450 40,690 56,360 28,430 32,760 (34,370 (46,040 44,000 (51,560 (48,300 44,280 55,190 33,340 36,010 39,840 51,500 48,910 58,720 59.3 53.3 58.8 68.9 68.5 69.7 66.0 37.8 45.0 21,740 58.5 27.5 51.4 58.0 58.9 76.2 75.1 61.7 59.2 72.8 73.2 71.9 66.2 (60) (1,403) (1,635) **(0.52)** (2.03) (1.19) (1.33) (1.75) (0.84) (1.06) (1.76) (1,244) (1.15) (1.25) (1.29) (0.76) (0.84) (2.16) (2.40) (2.13) (2.13) (4.32) (1,846) (1,846) (313) (1,159)(1,692)(0.54)(2,70)(772)(1,103)(1,889) (1,036) (1,090) (1.33)(3.80)(1,403)(1,223)(609) (2,478)(1,353)(821)6 47,250 43,100 54,160 58.6 34.1 51.6 53.3 59.5 68.0 67.8 68.6 **42,090** 29,170 33,620 36,530 41,610 52,250 49,400 61,820 65.6 41.2 60.8 60.7 65.7 72.4 72.2 26,620 30,860 35,420 43,700 41,200 52,620 **55.7** 30.3 29,410 31,550 36,510 43,350 41,690 53,550 **33,560** 20,470 50.8 50.8 69.0 69.1 68.5 70.6 **31,520** 22,800 30,220 (980) 34,440 (1,493) 36,790 (1,422) 47,880 (2,144) 45,290 (3,273) 53,010 (3,882) (2.22) (1.19) (1.29) (1.67) (0.77) **34,300 (778)** 22,010 (2,005) (0.94) (1.63) (823) (815) (862) (1,228) (931) (**0.52)** (2.78) **(642)** (282) (1,276) (1,226) (96) (0.53)(1.08) (1.20) (1.60) (0.69) (534) (6,466) (1.26) (3.73) (2.03) (2.42) (3.25) (421) (794) (2,347)(1,553)47,760 43,410 54,100 31,780 34,110 44,540 42,870 53,310 58.7 34,690 37,810 43,070 53,780 49,850 58,980 63.3 27,140 57.7 21,630 39.4 51.1 53.6 59.1 68.0 67.2 70.0 **43,380** 27,130 39.5 57.1 57.0 63.9 71.7 71.5 72.3 30.5 53.6 Amounts in constant 2014 dollars. Standard errors appear in parentheses 56.2 32,530 (#) (1,082) (26) (1,283) (2.03)(1.12) (1.28) (1.65) (0.82) (1.04) (3,868) (1,013) (1,609) (1,657) (1,074) (4,377) (2,331)(0.53)(39) (873) (1,873) (1.04) (1.14) (1.54) (0.77) (0.90) (1.43) (1,703) (2,106) (944) (1,715) (2,959) (3.58) (2.05) (2.46) (3.60) 31,810 (1,399) 2009 (1,620)(0.52)(2.43)(957) (1.13)(4.26)(1.93)(83) 49,600 44,240 59,580 51.8 54.1 60.8 67.2 67.9 **44,140** 27,210 27,540 32,020 30,600 49,180 44,140 58,590 28,390 35,610 33,910 50,290 48,930 58,400 38,610 43,970 55,000 49,650 65.4 69.5 69.4 69.5 57.4 58.9 35,240 38.1 21,950 62.5 55.9 48.9 57.7 63.2 73.5 74.7 42.7 63,540 41.0 59.0 **45,640 (1,357)** 27,350 (1,642) (1,093) (106) (1,725) (0.57) (2.22) (1.13) (1.22) (1.75) (0.76) (0.92) (1.52) (20) (858) (1,509) (1,084) (0.47) (2.28) (1.18) (1.51) (0.65) (0.77) (1.48) **(44)** (2,087) (1.21) (4.11) (2.11) (2.78) (3.78) (1.95) (2.44) (3.78) (1,096) (1,484) (1,804) (2,380) (3,068) (952)(0.89)(1,496)(172) (830) (1,252) (1,304) (2,668) (819) (68) **62.7** 45.9 48,990 45,490 57,300 34,230 39,860 41,980 54,740 51,270 62,400 6.99 63.7 69.6 29,490 34,100 33,630 45,430 44,530 51,320 60.4 65.5 57.9 82.0 82.5 80.5 23,500 29,460 35,290 34,230 49,440 45,670 66,100 59.4 57.9 62.7 69.9 68.7 72.6 68.3 51.8 72.8 72.7 73.3 65.0 43.9 29,020 (1,055) 37,990 (1,727) 41,110 (1,969) 49,370 (2,270) 47,830 (841) 60,970 (4,268) (1,109) (2,229) (1.83) (1.11) (1.19) (1.57) (0.99) (1.18) (1.76) (1,587) (411) (453) (914) (1,086) **(0.49)** (2.29) (0.92) (1.02) (1,528) (1,758) (2,606) (3,680) (2.01) (2.65) (3.57) (1.94) (2.14) (3.95) (1.41) (0.79) (0.92) (1.56) 34,630 (1,227) (1,223)(3.98) <u>£</u> (61) (1,697)(1.19)24,710 (1,436) 27,820 35,190 33,740 46,960 52,200 48,200 56,910 59.6 45.9 57.0 55.7 60.8 66.0 67.4 27,820 36,270 38,650 42,000 54,100 49,670 60,540 66.6 64.2 66.9 69.8 70.1 6.99 64.5 59.3 72.2 79.0 79.9 58.7 გ ა 2 42,430 (1.95) (1.23) (1.35) (1.54) (1.03) (1.10) (2.05) (1,445) (1,757) (1,896) (2,750) (2,300) (0.94) (1.19) (1.36) (0.77) (0.81) (1.66) (135) (1,419)(0.56)(1,304) (273) (905) (0.52) (2.31) 34,420 (1,135) (934)(724) (1,848) (1,731) (1,781) (1,682) (4,952) (1.12) (4.06) (1.92) (2.28) (4.20) (2.16) (2.66) (4.96) (1,675)30,080 (1,090) **66.1** 55.6 56,150 58.9 47.0 57.4 55.8 56.9 64.9 65.0 37,570 40,590 43,350 55,150 52,520 62,130 29,800 37,370 35,950 48,690 44,730 59,030 48.6 64.5 61.5 68.6 72.0 71.7 24,520 37,580 37,250 49,510 46,430 61,580 50,050 47,210 64.3 64.2 62.7 63.4 71.4 71.6 71.0 30,640 29,900 30,050 (497) 35,660 (714) 34,220 (980) 47,520 (1,285) 45,020 (1,968) 55,510 (2,553) 49,490 (343) 47,970 (383) 57,160 (1,151) 37,960 (508) 41,110 (353) 43,570 (425) 54,990 (314) 54,890 (362) 65,770 (2,260) 31,570 (820) 36,360 (968) 40,710 (1,746) 49,960 (1,770) 48,130 (1,319) 52,470 (3,216) (0.96) (1.08) (1.45) (0.82) (0.93) (386) (1.76) (0.75) (0.88) (1.23) (2.16) (2.57) (3.79) (2.58) (2.80) (6.52) (1.68)(0.39)(0.63) (0.72) (1.36) (4.46) (1.28)(0.49)(485) 67.6 68.2 72.2 72.9 69.9 45.3 58.5 59.2 62.7 66.8 67.6 64.2 60.7 68.2 55.2 **44,990** 28,580 66.2 69.7 48.8 68.3 69.8 78.0 78.6 79.4 23,370 (1.23) (1.46) (2.05) (1.17) (1.32) (2.57) (1,089) (384) (703) (784) (927) (482) (1,076) (0.52) (0.94) (626) (1,384) (2,218) (1,802) (2,076) (8,743) (2.24)(2.03)(1.63) (0.87) (0.99) (1.84) (1,689)(968) (1,453) (1,322) (1,938) (4.02) (2.11) (2.51) (4.29) (1,214)(654) (939) (1,482)(0.67)(1,406)(1.30)(2.85)(1,321)54.4 53.9 57.6 64.7 64.9 63.9 46,250 43,340 53,660 56.6 34,140 37,280 40,270 52,750 49,700 61,750 **64.5** 48.6 34,130 34,050 42,540 40,340 51,440 23,100 29,250 30,320 36,910 46,280 43,590 64,830 41.3 62.7 62.3 66.7 70.5 70.6 70.3 62.4 42.4 60.7 62.2 69.2 77.3 76.1 84.0 Sex, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment Less than high school completion Percent,² all education levels Percent, 2 all education levels Percent, 2 all education levels Median annual earnings, all Median annual earnings, all education levels Master's or higher degree Median annual earnings, all education levels Bachelor's or higher degree Bachelor's or higher degree Master's or higher degree Master's or higher degree Associate's degree Bachelor's or higher degree Master's or higher degree Master's or higher degree Bachelor's or higher degree Bachelor's or higher degree Bachelor's or higher degree Master's or higher degree Bachelor's or higher degree Master's or higher degree Some college, no degree High school completion¹ Bachelor's degree Bachelor's degree education levels Bachelor's degree Bachelor's degree Bachelor's degree Bachelor's degree Bachelor's degree Associate's degree Associate's degree Associate's degree Associate's degree Associate's degree Hispanic

		Ì			(Атрог	unts in co	instant 20.	(Amounts in constant 2014 dollars. Standard errors appear in parentheses)	Standard e	rrors appea	ar in pare	ntheses									
sex, race/ethnicity, and educational attainment		1995	2000		2004		2005	Į.	7002		-		0		-				-		
1		ļ		3 ^	3	5 .	Ď,	3 1	7007		5007		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014
	П	1		7		j		٩	9		7		8		6		10		11		2
Percent, all education levels		(1.32)	68.7 (1.14)	4) 68.0	_		68.1 (0.82)	2) 67.9	(0.86)	58.2	(96'0)	59.4	(0.85)	61.4	(0.80)	7 8 19	(78.0)	64.7	120	9	
Less than high school completion	53.5	(2.27)	64.4 (2.00)	0) 64.8	(1.52)		64.9 (1.67)	7) 61.1		51.8	(1.67)		(1,7)		(1 69)		(181)	٠.	10,10		
High school completion ¹	99	(2.31)	70.5 (2.00)	0) 70.8	(1.57)		71.3 (1.40)	72.1	(131)	ς ας.	. 5	0	2		()) (()		(0)
Some college, no degree	58.4	(3.18)	69.5 (2.8							1.13	(00.0)	2 5			(F. 4.9)		(1.05)	20	(T./B)		(1.70)
Associate's degree	67.0	(5,3)								4.4.	(07.7)	ָרָ בְּיַלְ הַיִּילִי	(20.0)	0.00	(A. 6)		(2.13)		5 (2)		(1.79)
Bachelor's or higher degree	6.99	(3.73)								7.5		1 0	9 6		(60.5)		(2.90)	73.5	(2.81)		(2.84)
Bachelor's degree		(4.12)					(11:1)			† ¢	(4.14)	1.90	(2.10)		1.84)		(1.96)		(2.00)		(<u>1.8</u> 4)
Master's or higher degree		2 6						71.6		64.2	(2.23)	68.1	(5.29)		(2.13)		(2.21)		(2.27)	70.6	(2.10)
37,670 171611 10 0 17171		<u>.</u>			. (4.88) (4.88)		0.4 (4.19)		2 (3.55)	65.3	(4.32)	68.2	(3.89)	67.1 ((3.97)	71.3 ((4.09)	,) 5.29	(4.31)		(4.16)
Asian ³																					
Median annual earnings, all																					
education levels	39,290 (1,094)		49,290 (836)		49,350 (1,238)	8) 48,3	310 (1,956)		51.080 (2.088)	54.290	(814)	49 640 (2 781)		K4 000 /3		47 07 11					
Less than high school completion	#	£	25,290 (2,268)		24,120 (3,963)		#	_	£	#	£	÷ +		,		(//c/7) nTc/cc		51,260(2,011)	_	49,520 ((281)
High school completion ¹	30,840 (2	(2,256)	34,360 (1,244)	31,440	(195 1) 0.		7	1 830	0		֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֓֓֓֝֝֓֓֓֓֝֝֟֝֓֓֓֝֟֝֓֓֓֝֟֝֓֓֓֝֟֝֓֡֓֝֟֝֓֡֓֝֡֓֡֝֡֡֓֡֝֡֓֡֝										E
Some college, no degree	28,770 (2	(2,706)	39,550 (2,047)				35 960 (2,524)				(4,434)										(1,870)
Associate's degree		(1 905)	40 560 (2 026)					_			(3,044)		(1,549)								(2,938)
Bachelor's or higher degree		(000,00)	67 800 (1.402)		0000						(4,221)			36,400 (6			(3,260) 33	32,110 (3,	(3,058) 33	33,840 (3,	(3,942)
Bachelor's degree	>	(200)	67,600 (1,402)								(1,548)					66,220 (1	(1,726) 60				(3,195)
Mactaric or higher degree		(200)	70 (40 (4,48)	_							(1,240)	58,640 ((4,306)	53,980 (3		61,130 (1					(2.275)
		(2,0,0)	(9,040 (0,034)	ъ 	_		65,970 (4,839)	9) 73,940	0 (2,483)	77,240	(3,319)	74,140 ((5,325)	77,060 (4	(4,698) 7	71,890 (2					(4.500)
Percent, an education levels	_	(2.98)	68.5 (1.78)	_	_		_	71.2	_	66.7	(1.51)	65.1	(1.46)	65.1	(1,73)	67.9					(97.5)
Less than high school completion		(86.6)	61.6 (8.31)	1) 61.8	8 (6.81)		49.4 (7.62)	2) 58.3	3 (8.93)	52.4	(11.87)		(11.24)		(6,80)		(7.17)	•		_	(10/1)
High school completion		(20.7)		9) 73.6	(4.04)		62.5 (4.82)	2) 68.5	(4.09)	59.3	(4 15)	. 0	(7.9.5)		1 6 7 5		000) ((10.
Some college, no degree		(8.45)		9) 62.4	4 (5.22)					57.4	(5 03)		(6.04)		() t t		(5.20)	4.70	(5.45)		(4.47)
Associate's degree		(8.01)								70.3	(4.25)	7.	(6		(80 1)		(4.31)		(8/.0)		(5.25)
Bachelor's or higher degree		(4.18)		5) 67.1	1 (2.55)		6.0 (2.07)			70.5	2	71.1	80		(20.0)		(6,5)		(10.0		(5.10)
Bachelor's degree		(2.27)	70.8 (2.87)	7) 67.6						69.7	(2.46)	, (,	(5, 5)		(2.09)		00.7		(2.24)		(1.65)
Master's or higher degree	62.0	(08.9)	67.9 (4.11)	1) 66.1	(3.98)		61.3 (3.08)	8) 73.6		71.6	(3.17)	69.4	(3.15)	_	(3.20)	71.7	(2.85)	20.0	(3.51)	66.9	(2.14)
Median annual earnings for		•												-	,		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		()
other race groups, all education levels									·						•						
Pacific Islander ⁴	£.	£	[3]	(+) 38.26(38.260 (8.298)		36.630 (3.907)	39.250	(2045)	32.050	77.57	7 100									
American Indian/Alaska Native ⁴	30,800 (3,084)	3,084)	(1,5		32,280 (2.607)				(1905)	32,110	(37.75)	001/20	(074,1)								(3,654)
Two or more races ⁴	ı	ŧ					200 (2) 000		(1,732)	07,100	(100/4)) t'TAO						32,750 (6,	(6,664) 29	29,830 (1,	(1,358)
		<u> </u>		176'00 /	(2,500)		210 (1,/29)	37,020	(3,516)	37,080	(2,970)	37,780 ((1,511)	38,620 (1	(1,733) 3	36,680 (1	(1,563) 37	37,170 (3,	(3,416) 34	34,360 (1,	(1,974)
Percent ² for other race groups,																	•				
all education levels																	_				
Pacific Islander ⁴	[-]	£	[³] (†	(+) 64.3	3 (6.81)		53.7 (6.81)	1) 70.2	(5.06)	46.9	(7.15)	62.2	(6 71)	0 95	(0.50)	,	(60.9)		- (í
American Indian/Alaska Native ⁴	46.9	(8.10)	57.6 (4.94)	4) 54.3	3 (4.25)		60.2 (4.01)	11 643		ď	(4.30)						7 1		6.46		(60.4)
Two or more races ⁴	ı	£	- 1							2			(† ; f		(4.36)		(cn.c)		(5.26)		(3.84)
	§	-Not available.			1		1		П	20.5	(5.35)	500.4	(7.87)	58.1	(2.93)	29.0	(5.94)	61.0	(3.73)	61.6	(3.23)
	1-144	1111																			

-Not available.

TNot applicable.

#Rounds to zero.

‡Reporting standards not met (too few cases for a reliable estimate).

 $^{\mathtt{1}}$ Includes equivalency credentials, such as the GED credential.

² Full-time year-round workers as a percentage of the population ages 25 through 34 who reported working or locking for work in the given year.

⁴ For Pacific Islanders, American Indians/Alaska Natives, and persons of Two or more races, data by educational attainment are omitted because these data did not meet reporting standards. All data shown for these three race calegories are for persons of all education levels.

NOTE: Beginning in 2004, standard errors were computed using replicate weights, which produced more precise values than the generalized variance function methodology used in prior years. Race categories exclude persons of Hispanic ethnicity. Constant dollars based on the Consumer Price Index, prepared by the Bureau of Labor Stalistics, U.S. Department of Labor. ³ For 1995 and 2000, data for Asians and Pacific Islanders were not reported separately; therefore, Pacific Islanders are included with Asians for 1995 and 2000.

SOURCE: U.S., Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, Current Population Survey (CPS), Annual Social and Economic Supplement, 1996 through 2015. (This table was prepared November 2015.)